# INSURE+C AUDIO DEEPFAKE DETECTION

Students: Chengzhe Sun (UB)

Ehab AlBadawy (UAlbany)

Faculty advisor: Siwei Lyu (UB)

Tech Director: Timothy Davison (JHU APL)

Robinson, Sarah R (JHU APL)

Nathaniel Kavaler (JHU APL)

University at Buffalo The State University of New York



### Demo



2

Voice created using [ehab's voice conversion], video created with wav2lip [ACM Multimedia 2020]

## **Motivation**

- DeepFake technologies allow malicious actors to produce audio clips are improving in terms of the quality, scalability, and ease of use.
- DeepFake technologies for audio synthesis has seen significant improvements in the recent years and used by malicious actors for financial gains.

### Importance

- The proliferation of DeepFake technologies poses clear threats to society and democracy.
- Synthetic audio detection is one key element of managing this threat.

#### Scammer Successfully Deepfaked CEO's Voice To Fool Underling Into Transferring \$243,000





### Problem

- We aim to develop methods to detect synthetic audios by identifying the <u>neural vocoders</u> used in the generation process
  - \* A neural vocoder is a neural network which synthesizes waveforms from temporal-frequency representations, e.g., (mel)spectrograms.
  - \* It is one the core component of the most DeepFake audio synthesis algorithms
    - The <u>text-to-speech</u> models, e.g., Parrotron and Spectron converts an input text to the target's voices
    - The voice conversion models uses a source person's voice as input.



### Work Elsewhere

Works on detecting synthetic audios ASVSpoofing challenge 2019 and 2021 (ongoing) Bi-spectral analysis [Albawdaway et.al., CVPRW 2019] DeepSonar [Wang et.al., ACM MM 2021] Works on comparing different neural vocoders The work [Govalkar et.al., ISA Workshop 2019] compares a few neural vocoders (3) for speech reconstruction on a small set of input audio signals (100 clips) There has been no large-scale benchmarking dataset for the task of vocoder identification and synthetic audio detection

The lack of the benchmark dataset is a critical bottleneck for the development of vocoder-based audio DeepFake detection method





### **Dataset configurations**

- Eight types of vocoders
  - \* Autoregressive Models
    - WaveNet
    - WaveRNN
  - \* GAN Models
    - MelGAN
    - MB-MelGAN
    - Parallel WaveGAN
  - \* Diffusion Models
    - WaveGrad
    - DiffWave

#### • Data source

LJSpeech: 13,100 short audio clips of a single speaker with length from 1 to 10 seconds (a total length of approximately 24 hours). LibriTSS: multi-speaker English corpus of approximately 585 hours of read English speech. CSTR VCTK Corpus: 110 English speakers of 400 sentences.

#### • Dataset sizes

1,000 sample clips of average length of >10 seconds for each type of vocoders across diverse speakers and contents (total 8,000 samples, or 32 hours) We will also develop a baseline for vocoder identification based on the RawNet2 model

### **Research Plan**

- Task 1: Set up and pilot SOTA vocoder models (3 months)
- Task 2: Generate 5000+ audio samples using the input speech and different vocoder models (6 months)
- Task 3: Develop baseline vocoder identification models based on the RawNet2 model (2 Months)
- Task 4: Summary results and drafting reports (1 Month)
- The dataset and benchmark will be made available to the media forensics research community as open-source upon the completion of the project
- Evaluation metrics for synthesis qualities
  - Mean Opinion Score (MOS)
  - Fréchet Audio Distance (FAD)
- Evaluation metrics for detection and attribution accuracies
  - The area under the ROC curve (AUC)





### First Dataset we used

- <u>LibriTSS</u>:
- A multi-speaker English corpus
- 585 hours of reading English speech
- 24kHz sampling rate
- The LibriTTS is designed for TTS research.
- Subset of the original materials of the LibriSpeech.







-20 dB

-30 dB

-40 dB

-50 dB

+0 dB

-20 dB

+60 dB

+50 dB

+40 dB

+30 dB

Difference



WaveNet The bottom graph shows the difference. The darker it has means the bigger difference it has.



#### -40 dB -50 dB **WaveRNN** -60 dB

GroundTruth

The bottom graph shows the difference. The darker it has means the bigger difference it has.

#### Spectrogram Difference (one sample from LibriTTS)



The bottom graph shows the difference. The darker it has means the bigger difference it has.





ParallelWaveGAN The bottom graph shows the difference. The darker it has means the bigger difference it has.

13

GroundTruth



 -40 dB

 -50 dB

 -50 dB

 -70 dB

 -70 dB

 -80 dB

 -40 dB

 -40 dB

 difference. The darker it has means

 -20 dB

 the bigger difference it has.

### GroundTruth







-50 dB WaveGrad The bottom graph shows the difference. The darker it has means the bigger difference it has.

#### GroundTruth

+0 dB

-10 dB -20 dB

-30 dB

-40 dB

-60 dB

-70 dB

-80 dB

+0 dB

-60 dB

-80 dB







- We create LibriVoc as a new open-source, large-scale dataset for the study of neural vocoder artifact detection.
- LibriVoc is derived from the LibriTTS speech corpus.
- LibriTTS contains 585 hours of recorded speech samples from 2,456 speakers.
- LibriTTS corpus has been widely used in text-to-speech research.





Overall of the Dataset Size & Splits:

• Train

Number of samples: 149736 Number of speakers: 1151

Develop
 Number of samples: 5736

Number of speakers: 40

• Test

Number of samples: 4837 Number of speakers: 39



The number of hours of audio synthesized by each neural vocoder.

Model	train- clean-100	train- clean-360	dev- clean	test- clean
WaveNet (A01)	4.28	15.49	0.75	0.76
WaveRNN (A02)	4.33	14.92	0.67	0.72
MelGAN (G01)	4.36	15.26	0.71	0.76
Parallel WaveGAN (G02)	4.37	15.54	0.68	0.75
WaveGrad (D01)	4.19	15.81	0.76	0.74
DiffWave (D02)	4.16	15.37	0.62	0.66
Total	25.69	92.39	4.19	4.39





Organization of the dataset:

- Real & fake ratio 50/50
  - •1⁄4 of the speakers will be reserved for real samples only

•<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the speakers will be reserved for fake samples only

•<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of the speaker will be a combination between real and fake samples

Real data will be used to train the neural vocoders











### **Vocoder Detection**

- Our vocoder detection method is based on the recent RawNet2 model.
- RawNet2 is an end-to-end model that was originally designed for the automatic speaker verification anti-spoofing task.
- RawNet2 ranks among the best-performing baselines in the ASVspoof challenge.



### **Evaluation Results**

- The experiment yielded an EER of 3.15% when using augmentation and a 2.69% EER without augmentation.
- RawNet2 classifier can robustly detect vocoder artifacts even despite additive noise.
- each neural vocoder does produce unique artifacts, akin to a signature or vocoder fingerprint



### Summery

- We develop a model for vocoder identification based on the RawNet2 model.
- We also provide a large-scale dataset named LibriVoc, with synthetic audios of human voice samples created with a diverse set of neural vocoders.
- Experiments on this dataset show that our method can achieve an overall vocoder identification EER of 1.61%.

There is still room for improvement for this work.



### **Future Plan**

- We will form a new dataset using Voice conversion models.
- We would like to augment the LibriVoc dataset to include more diverse real audio signals and environments.
- We will further explore more tailored solutions to the vocoder identification problem.
- We will further develop effective methods that can directly differentiate real and synthetic audios by combining cues from vocoders and other signal features.





### Outcomes, Importance, Deliverables

- Outcomes a large-scale dataset with synthetic audios of human voices created with a diverse set of neural vocoders, and a baseline vocoder identification algorithm
- Importance the dataset will be useful to conduct research in DeepFake audio detection, especially those based on vocoder identifications

Deliverables	Delivery Date	
Software: (To be provided to affiliates; or N/A)	8 months after	
The baseline vocoder identification algorithm	project begins	
Datasets: (To be provided to affiliates; or N/A)	6 months after	
The synthetic audio dataset created with different vocoders	project begins	
Other: (add rows as necessary) Progress report and annual report with publications and presentations related to project	12 months after project begins	

24

# **Related Funding and IP**

- Prior Funding
  - N/A
- Current Related Funding (indicate how projects are different)
  - PI Lyu and Doermann are currently supported by DARPA SemaFor Project (2020 2024); however, this work is not part of the PI's proposed work in the SemaFor project.
  - PI Lyu, Doermann, Setlur CITeR Project (2022 2023) #22S-01B A Benchmark Dataset for Neural Vocoder Identification
- Intellectual Property
- A prior IP to declare, e.g., provisional patent applications, patents, and licensing arrangements.
  - N/A
- Conflicts of interest (ownership, licensing, consulting payments, etc.) in the area of the proposal



- N/A
- \_\_\_\_\_

**Outcomes** 

**Deliverables** 

Dataset

۲

۲

۰

neural vocoders,

#### A Benchmark Dataset for Neural Vocoder Identification Project #22S-01B

Siwei Lyu, David Doermann, Srirangaraj Setlur (UB)



- The proliferation of DeepFake technologies . poses clear threats to society and democracy
- Synthetic audio detection is one key element ٠ of managing this threat

#### **Objective and Approach** Objective • We aim to develop methods to detect synthetic audios by identifying the neural vocoders used in the generation process Approach We will build a large-scale benchmarking dataset for vocoder identification **Milestones (from proposal)** Task 1: Set up and pilot SOTA vocoder models (3 a large-scale dataset with synthetic audios of months) human voices created with a diverse set of Task 2: Generate 5000+ audio samples using the input speech and different vocoder models (6 a baseline vocoder identification algorithm months) Task 3: Develop baseline vocoder identification models based on LPCC features and GMM models (2 Months) Baseline identification algorithm code Task 4: Summary results and drafting reports (1 Month) **Reports and publications**



# Thank you very much for listening! Question?

